Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons

American Geriatrics Society Panel on the Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons

Pain is a complex phenomenon caused by noxious sensory stimuli or neuropathological mechanisms. An individual's memories, expectations, and emotions modify the experience of pain. Persistent pain, by definition, continues for a prolonged period of time and may or may not be associated with a well-defined disease process. In the medical literature, the terms "persistent pain" and "chronic pain" are often used interchangeably, but the newer term, persistent pain," is preferred, because it is not associated with the negative attitudes and stereotypes that clinicians and patients often associate with the "chronic pain" label.² In the definition of persistent pain, authors have used various durations of painful sensation, including pain longer than 3 months, 6 months, or more. Some reports make the assumption that patients with certain diagnoses, such as postherpetic neuralgia, low back pain, or cancer-related pain, must also experience persistent pain. In the final analvsis, readers must evaluate new additions to the medical literature carefully and consider how these sometimes arbitrary definitions apply to each clinical situation and individual patient.

Demographers, insurers, and employers have defined older persons as aged 65 and older. By age 75, many persons exhibit some frailty and chronic illness, with many having multiple chronic illnesses. In the population aged 75 and older, morbidity, mortality, and social problems increase rapidly, resulting in substantial strains on the healthcare system and social safety net.^{3,4} The American Geriatrics Society (AGS) Panel on Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons focused its attention on this older frail population in preparing this update.

Persistent pain commonly affects older people^{5–7} and is most frequently associated with musculoskeletal disorders, such as degenerative spine conditions and arthritis. Nighttime leg pain (stemming from muscle cramps, restless legs, or other conditions) and pain from claudication are also

American Geriatrics Society, New York, New York.

AGS Panel on Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons.

This guideline was developed and written under the auspices of the AGS Panel on Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons and approved by the AGS Executive Committee on April 21, 2009.

Address correspondence to Elvy Ickowicz, MPH, Assistant Deputy Executive Vice President, American Geriatrics Society, 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 801, New York, NY, 10118. E-mail: eickowicz@americangeriatrics.org

DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02376.x

common. As many as 80% of older persons diagnosed with cancer experience pain during the course of their illness, and pain that occurs as a consequence of cancer treatment is increasingly recognized as a form of persistent pain. The distress of cancer pain creates an obligation for clinicians to provide effective pain management, particularly near the end of life. Persistent pain is also frequently encountered in nursing homes. Many nursing home residents have multiple complaints and numerous potential sources of pain. Neuralgia secondary to diseases such as diabetes mellitus, infections such as herpes zoster, peripheral vascular disease, and trauma, including surgery, amputation, and other nerve injuries, is somewhat less frequent.

Persistent pain or its inadequate treatment is associated with a number of adverse outcomes in older people, including functional impairment, falls, slow rehabilitation, mood changes (depression and anxiety), decreased socialization, sleep and appetite disturbance, and greater healthcare use and costs. ¹² Although appropriate treatment can reduce these adverse events, the treatments themselves may incur their own risks and morbidities. Persistent pain can also be as distressing for the caregiver as for the patient. Caregiver strain and negative caregiver attitudes can substantially affect the patient's experience of pain and should be evaluated and discussed during the clinical encounter, if present.

Guideline Development Process and Methods

The American Geriatrics Society (AGS) provided the first Clinical Practice Guideline on management of chronic pain in older persons in 1998.¹³ This landmark publication became a call to arms for improving pain management, quality of life, and quality of care for older patients. In 2002, the publication was revised to include new pharmacological and other strategies for improving patient care, as well as new information on the assessment of pain in patients with cognitive impairment.¹² The focus of these efforts has been to provide education and guidance to primary care clinicians, researchers, and other health professionals as they encounter patients with persistent pain and its complications.

The current Guideline aims to update the evidence base of the 2002 Guideline and provide recommendations regarding the use of newer pharmacological approaches to managing persistent pain in the older population. Since the development of the two previous AGS publications, substantial progress has been made in this area. New drugs

have been introduced, management strategies have been more fully evaluated, and new treatment approaches are now available. In particular, many recent reports describing novel pharmacological approaches to management warrant an appropriate revision to the 2002 publication at this time.

Because the most common strategy for management of persistent pain in older persons is the use of pharmacological agents, and because this is also the area of greatest risk, it was decided to focus on pharmacotherapy in this update. This document is not an exhaustive treatise; rather, it is offered as a synthesis of existing literature and the consensus of experts familiar with clinical pain management, research in older persons, and the diverse settings in which care is often provided, including ambulatory care settings and nursing homes. As such, it is hoped that this guideline update proves helpful to clinicians, researchers, and policy makers alike. Ultimately, it is hoped that the beneficiaries of this work will be older patients who often require effective pain management to maintain their dignity, functional capacity, and overall quality of life.

The development of this guideline update was begun by convening a panel comprising members from the previous panels and new members with substantial knowledge, experience, and publications in pain management and care of older patients. Panel members included experts in geriatric pain management, pharmacology, rheumatology, neurology, nursing, palliative care, and geriatric clinical practice. Beginning with a review of previous guidelines from the AGS, American Pain Society, American College of Rheumatology, and others, the panel conducted a review of evidence-based literature published since the preceding AGS guidelines appeared and then drafted new recommendations. An independent researcher was commissioned to conduct a literature search. More than 24,000 citations were identified from sources such as computerized key word searches for each recommendation, personal citation libraries of the panel members, and references from texts of some individual articles. Of these, approximately 2,400 abstracts were screened for evidence-based content. Detailed summaries were created along with the full-text articles for more than 240 full-text English-language articles. Data from these articles (formal meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, other clinical trials) were reviewed to determine the strength and quality of evidence for the recommendations based on a modified version of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation Working Group^{14,15} that the American College of Physicians developed for their Guideline Grading System.¹⁶ Through a consensus process, panel members assigned strength and quality of evidence to each recommendation. Table 1 provides a key to the designations used.

Current evidence-based literature does not serve as an adequate guide in many decision-making situations that are routinely encountered in clinical practice. For example, much existing evidence is focused on disease-specific conditions or on younger populations with limited generalizability. Also, the number of controlled studies involving only patients aged 75 and older remains low. Furthermore, high-quality studies involving elderly patients from different ethnic groups are rare. Therefore, some of the recommendations are based on the clinical experience and the consensus of panel members, as well as the existing weak

Table 1. Key to Designations of Quality and Strength of Evidence

Quality of evidence		
High	Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes ($\geq\!2$ consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing large effects).	
Moderate	Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (≥ 1 higher quality trial with > 100 subjects; ≥ 2 higher-quality trials with some inconsistency; ≥ 2 consistent, lower-quality trials; or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the evidence.	
Low	Evidence is insufficient to assess effects on health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, large and unexplained inconsistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes.	
Strength of recommendation		
Strong	Benefits clearly outweigh risks and burden OR risks and burden clearly outweigh benefits.	
Weak	Benefits finely balanced with risks and burden.	
Insufficient	Insufficient evidence to determine net benefits or risks.	

scientific evidence. When appropriate, the panel drew on studies of younger subjects that could be extrapolated to older individuals, but extrapolation to the oldest old or to care settings where older persons often reside was not always reasonable. Once the literature review was completed, evidence was rated, and the document was disseminated for external review by experts from a variety of other organizations with interest in this subject. (See Acknowledgments for listing of review organizations.)

Each expert panel member completed a disclosure form at the beginning of the guideline process that was shared with the entire expert panel at the start of its two expert panel meetings. Conflicts of interest in this guideline have been resolved by having the guideline independently peer reviewed and then edited by the Expert Panel Chair, who had no conflict of interest with the medications being discussed. Expert panel members who disclosed affiliations or financial interests with commercial interests involved with the products or services referred to in the guideline are listed under the disclosures section of this article.

Some matters involving pharmacological management of persistent pain in older persons were beyond the scope of this publication. For example, the use of anesthetic agents, chronic infusions, and neurostimulatory and implantable pump technologies were not addressed. It is hoped that this update will stimulate others to focus on solutions to the significant issues not addressed here.

The update begins with a review of pain assessment principles. The recommendations that follow have been grouped under the following headings: nonopioids, including acetaminophen and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs); opioid analgesics; adjuvant drugs; and other medications. General principles are discussed first, followed by the panel's specific recommendations for use of these medications. Readers should recognize that medical science is constantly evolving and that clinicians have a responsibility to keep abreast of new developments. New and emerging evidence may have important implications for the implementation of specific recommendations contained in this document. These recommendations are intended as a guide. They should not substitute for critical thinking, sound judgment, clinical experience, and an open-minded approach to the unique individual circumstances of each clinical encounter.

ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF PERSISTENT PAIN

General Principles

JAGS

The approach to pain management in older persons differs from that for younger people. Clinical manifestations of persistent pain are often complex and multifactorial in the older population. In addition, older people may underreport pain. Concurrent illnesses and multiple problems make pain evaluation and treatment more difficult. Also, older persons are more likely to experience medication-related side effects and have a higher potential for complications and adverse events related to diagnostic and invasive procedures. Despite these challenges, pain can usually be effectively managed in this age group. Moreover, clinicians have an ethical and moral obligation to prevent needless suffering and do their best to provide effective pain relief, especially for those near the end of life.

An effective pharmacological approach to the treatment of persistent pain requires accurate pain assessment. Routine screening and careful assessment of all older patients is crucial, because even pain that is causing severe impairment may not be spontaneously revealed for a variety of personal, cultural, or psychological reasons. 12 Not only do many older persons underreport pain, but there are also inherent difficulties in recognizing pain experienced by patients with cognitive impairment. A thorough initial assessment and appropriate diagnostic evaluation are always necessary and may reveal disease-modifying interventions that can potentially relieve pain at the source.¹⁷ Interdisciplinary assessment during the evaluation process may help identify all such treatable contributing factors. For patients whose underlying pain source is not remediable or only partially treatable, an interdisciplinary assessment and treatment strategy is the best approach. 18,19 When specialized services or skilled procedures are indicated, referral to an appropriate specialist is necessary. For example, patients with debilitating psychiatric complications, problems of substance abuse, or life-altering intractable pain require referral to specialists with relevant expertise. 12

The current best indicator of the pain experience is the patient's own report, which must include an assessment of the pain intensity and an evaluation of the effect of the pain on daily function.²⁰ Even in the presence of mild or moderate cognitive impairment, an assessment can be made using simple questions and screening tools, including a variety of pain scales that have been developed specifically for this

purpose.^{21–25} Approaches for recognizing and evaluating pain in nonverbal older persons are also available.²⁶ Readers are referred to a recent systematic review for details of the current state of the art in assessment of pain in older persons²⁷ and to previous AGS guidelines (http://www.americangeriatrics.org) for specific recommendations for pain assessment in older persons that remain relevant.^{12,13}

General Principles of Pharmacological Management

Any pain complaint that affects physical function or quality of life should be recognized as a significant problem. Older patients with functional impairment or diminished quality of life are candidates for pharmacological therapy, with intervention decisions based on careful weighing of risks and benefits. Positive outcomes are maximized when clinicians are knowledgeable about the drugs they prescribe and regularly monitor patients for adverse effects, although it is unrealistic to imply, or for patients to expect, complete absence of pain for some persistent pain conditions. ¹² Comfort goals should be mutually established for managing pain to a level that allows the patient to engage in activities and achieve an acceptable quality of life.

Although older patients are generally at higher risk of adverse drug reactions, analgesic and pain-modulating drugs can still be safe and effective when comorbidities and other risk factors are carefully considered. It must be assumed that there will be age-associated differences in effectiveness, sensitivity, and toxicity and that pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug properties will change in this population. Table 2 provides a summary of changes observed with normal aging that can affect disposition, metabolism, and responses to analgesic medications.

For some classes of pain-relieving medications (e.g., opioids), older patients have demonstrated greater analgesic sensitivity, but older people constitute a heterogeneous population, making optimum dosage and common side effects difficult to predict. Recommendations for age-adjusted dosing are not available for most analgesics. In reality, dosing for most patients requires initiation with low doses followed by careful upward titration, including frequent reassessment for dosage adjustments and optimum pain relief and for adverse effects.

The least-invasive method of drug administration should be used. Some opioids, for example, can be administered through a variety of routes, including oral, subcutaneous, intravenous, transdermal, oral sublingual, intrathecal, and rectal. Most drugs are limited to only a few safe routes of administration, but new delivery systems are being developed each year. As a rule, the oral route is preferable because of its convenience and the relatively steady blood concentrations that result. Some drug effects are seen in 30 minutes to 2 hours after oral administration of analgesics; this may be inadequate for acute, rapidly fluctuating pain. Intravenous bolus provides the most rapid onset and shortest duration of action but requires more labor, technical skill, and monitoring than oral administration. Although commonly used, subcutaneous and intramuscular injections have disadvantages such as wider fluctuations in absorption and more rapid fall-off of action than the oral route. Transdermal, rectal, and oral transmu-

Pharmacological Concern	Change with Normal Aging	Common Disease Effects
Gastrointestinal absorption or function	 Slowing of gastrointestinal transit time may prolong effects of continuous- release enteral drugs. Opioid-related bowel dysmotility may be enhanced in older patients. 	 Disorders that alter gastric pH may reduce absorption of some drugs. Surgically altered anatomy may reduce absorption of some drugs.
Transdermal absorption	Under most circumstances, there are few changes in absorption based on age but may relate more to different patch technology used.	 Temperature and other specific patch technology characteristics may affect absorption.
Distribution	 Increased fat to lean body weight ratio may increase volume of distribution for fat- soluble drugs. 	 Aging and obesity may result in longer effective drug half-life
Liver metabolism	 Oxidation is variable and may decrease resulting in prolonged drug half-life. Conjugation usually preserved. First-pass effect usually unchanged. Genetic enzyme polymorphisms may affect some cytochrome enzymes. 	Cirrhosis, hepatitis, tumors may disrupt oxidation but not usually conjugation.
Renal excretion	Glomerular filtration rate decreases with advancing age in many patients, which results in decreased excretion.	 Chronic kidney disease may predispose further to renal toxicity.
Active metabolites	Reduced renal clearance will prolong effects of metabolites.	Renal disease.Increase in half-life.
Anticholinergic side effects	 Increased confusion, constipation, incon- tinence, movement disorders. 	Enhanced by neurological disease processes.

cosal routes may be essential for people with swallowing difficulties.

Timing of medication administration is also important. Rapid-onset, short-acting analgesic drugs should be used for severe episodic pain. Medications for intermittent or episodic pain can usually be prescribed as needed, although the as-needed approach is not a good choice for patients with cognitive impairment who are not able to request medication appropriately. Scheduled administration before anticipated (or incident) pain episodes is recommended in these patients. For continuous pain, medications should be provided around the clock. In these situations, a steady-state analgesic blood concentration maintains comfort

more effectively. Most patients with continuous pain who are receiving long-acting or sustained-release preparations should also have fast-onset short-acting drugs for breakthrough pain. Breakthrough pain includes end-of-dose failure, resulting from decreased blood concentrations of analgesic with concomitant increase in pain before the next scheduled dose; incident pain, usually caused by activity that can be anticipated and pretreated; and spontaneous pain, common with neuropathic pain that is often fleeting and difficult to predict.

The use of placebos is unethical in clinical practice and in the management of pain. Inert oral placebo medications, sham injections, or other fraudulent procedures are used in some analgesic studies, but patient consent and full understanding must be ensured in such cases. In clinical settings, placebo effects are common, but they are not diagnostic of pain or indicative of a therapeutic response. Not only are the effects of placebos often short lived, but most importantly, deceptive placebo administration may lead to loss of patient trust in addition to needless suffering.

For many patients, combining pharmacological and nonpharmacological strategies (including complementary or alternative medicine) can enhance relief of persistent pain. Although some nonpharmacological interventions have been shown to reduce pain when used alone, their benefit is usually enhanced when combined with drug strategies. Effective nonpharmacological approaches include physical therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, and most importantly, patient and caregiver education interventions. Readers are referred to the 2002 AGS guidelines and recent reviews for a more-detailed description. ^{12,32,33}

More than a single drug may be necessary to attain a specific therapeutic endpoint. Moreover, a combination of two or more drugs with complementary mechanisms of action may work synergistically to afford greater relief with less toxicity than would higher doses of a single agent. This strategy, which has become known as "rational polypharmacy," may be particularly important for some patients or conditions in which no single agent can produce pain relief without dose-limiting adverse effects.

PHARMACOTHERAPY

Nonopioid Analgesics

Table 3 summarizes the recommended drugs for treatment of persistent pain in older adults. Acetaminophen is an effective agent for the management of symptoms of osteoarthritis and low back pain. 34,35 It is not associated with significant gastrointestinal bleeding, adverse renal effects, or cardiovascular toxicity, although some evidence of longterm renal toxicity has been reported if acetaminophen is used in high doses over many years. 36,37 Owing to its greater safety than traditional NSAIDs, acetaminophen is recommended as first-line therapy for pain.³⁸ Clinicians should carefully address how much or how little acetaminophen the patient is taking before making a decision about a stronger pain medication. Sometimes an increase of acetaminophen dose to 1,000 mg provides a pain relief effect so that stronger medications are not required. Clinicians should also educate patients on the maximum safe dose (<4 g/24 hours) of acetaminophen from all sources.

Drug	Recommended Starting Dose*	Comments
onopioid analgesic		
Acetaminophen (Tylenol)	325–500 mg every 4 h or 500–1,000 mg every 6 h	Maximum dose usually 4 g daily. Reduce maximum dose 50% to 75% in patients with hepatic insufficiency or history of alcohol abuse.
Choline magnesium trisalicylate (Tricosal, Trilisate)	500–750 mg every 8 h	Long half-life may allow daily or twice-daily dosing after steady sta is reached. Minimal antiplatelet effect.
Salsalate (e.g., Disalcid, Mono- Gesic, Salflex)	500–750 mg every 12 h	In frail patients or those with diminished hepatic or renal function, may be important to check salicylate levels during dose titration at after reaching steady state. Minimal antiplatelet effect.
Celecoxib (Celebrex)	100 mg daily	Higher doses associated with higher incidence of gastrointestinal, cardiovascular side effects. Patients with indications for cardioprotection require aspirin supplement; therefore, older individuals will still require concurrer gastroprotection.
Naproxen sodium	220 mg twice daily	Several studies implicate this agent as possessing less cardiovascular toxicity.
Ibuprofen	200 mg three times a day	Food and Drug Administration indicates concurrent use with aspir inhibits aspirin's antiplatelet effect, but the true clinical import of tr remains to be elucidated, and it remains unclear whether this is unique to ibuprofen or true with other NSAIDs.
Diclofenac sodium	50 mg twice daily or 75 mg extended release daily	Owing to its relative cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor selectivity, this age may be associated with higher cardiovascular risk compared to oth traditional NSAIDs.
Nabumetone (Relafen)	1 g daily	Relatively long half-life and minimal antiplatelet effect associated with this agent ($>$ 5 days).
Ketorolac		Not recommended. High potential for adverse gastrointestinal and renal toxicity; inappropriate for long-term use.
pioid .		
Hydrocodone [†] (Lorcet, Lortab, Norco, Vicodin, Vicoprofen)	2.5–5 mg every 4–6 h	Useful for acute recurrent, episodic, or breakthrough pain; daily do limited by fixed-dose combinations with acetaminophen or NSAID Prescribers need to consider the amount of nonopioid agent in each these preparations—they are not all the same—and other acetaminophen or NSAID-containing preparations the patient is taking, including over-the-counter medications.
Oxycodone [‡]		
(OxylR, Percocet, Percodan, Tylox, Combunox)	2.5–5 mg every 4–6 h	Useful for acute recurrent, episodic, or breakthrough pain; daily immediate-release dose limited by fixed-dose combinations with acetaminophen or NSAIDs. Immediate-release oxycodone is availat without added co-analgesics. Prescribers should specify which oxycodone preparation they want for their patient to avoid confusi or co-analgesic toxicity.
(OxyContin)	10 mg every 12 h	Usually started after initial dose determined by effects of immediat release opioid or as an alternative to a different long-acting opioid because of indications for opioid rotation. Although intended for 1 hour dosing, some patients only get 8 hours of effective analgesia whereas some frail older patients get 12 to 24 hours of relief.
Morphine		
Immediate release (MSIR, Roxanol)	2.5–10 mg every 4 h	Available in tablet form and as concentrated oral solution, which most commonly used for episodic or breakthrough pain and for patients unable to swallow tablets.
Sustained release (Avinza, Kadian, MSContin, Oramorph SR)	15 mg every 8–24 h (see dosing guidelines in the package insert for each specific formulation)	Usually started after initial dose determined by effects of immediat release opioid or as an alternative to a different long-acting opioid due to indications for opioid rotation. Toxic metabolites of morphine may limit usefulness in patients wi renal insufficiency or when high-dose therapy is required. Continuous-release formulations may require more-frequent dosing end-of-dose failure occurs regularly. Significant interactions with food and alcohol toxicity.

Table 3. (Contd.)

Drug	Recommended Starting Dose*	Comments
Hydromorphone (Dilaudid, Hydrostat)	1–2 mg every 3–4 h	For breakthrough pain or for around-the-clock dosing.
Methadone (Dolophine)		Use recommended only by practitioners knowledgeable in its pharmacology and experienced in its use. Highly variable half-life and nonlinear dose equivalencies when switching from other opioids. Not recommended as first-line agent.
Oxymorphone		
Immediate release (Opana IR)	5 mg every 6 h	Typical opioid side effects. Significant interactions with food and alcohol toxicity.
Extended release (Opana ER)	5 mg every 12 h	Usually started after initial dose determined by effects of immediate release opioid or as an alternative to a different long-acting opioid because of indications for opioid rotation.
Transdermal fentanyl (Duragesic)	12–25 mcg/h patch every 72 h	Started after initial dose determined by effects of immediate-release opioid or as an alternative to a different long-acting opioid because of indications for opioid rotation. Currently available lowest-dose patch recommended for patients where require < 60 mg per 24-hour oral morphine equivalents. Peak effects of first dose takes 18 to 24 hours. Duration of effect is usually 3 days but may range from 48 hours to 96 hours. May take two to three patch changes before steady-state blood levels reached.
djuvant drug		
Tricyclic Antidepressant*		
Desipramine (Norpramine), Nortriptyline (Aventyl, Pamelor), Amitriptyline (Elavil)	10 mg at bedtime	Significant risk of adverse effects in older patients. Anticholinergic effects (visual, urinary, gastrointestinal); cardiovascular effects (orthostasis, atrioventricular blockade). Older persons rarely tolerate doses greater than 75 to 100 mg per day.
Other Antidepressant*		·
Duloxetine (Cymbalta)	20 mg daily	Monitor blood pressure, dizziness, cognitive effects and memory. Has multiple drug–drug interactions.
Venlafaxine (Effexor)	37.5 mg daily	Venlafaxine associated with dose-related increases in blood pressur and heart rate.
Milnacipran (Savella)	50 mg twice daily/starting dose 12.5 mg once a day See package insert for titration recommendations. Discontinuation requires tapering.	Caution in renal insufficiency with creatinine clearance less than 30 mL/min, reduce dose by 50%. Common reactions include nausea, constipation, hot flashes, hyperhidrosis, palpitations, dry mouth, hypertension. Contraindicated with monoamine oxidase inhibitors and narrowangle glaucoma.
Anticonvulsant		
Carbamazepine (Tegretol)	100 mg daily	Monitor hepatic transaminases (aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase), complete blood count, creatinine, blood urea nitroger electrolytes, serum carbamazepine levels. Multiple drug—drug interactions.
Gabapentin (Neurontin)	100 mg at bedtime	Monitor sedation, ataxia, edema.
Pregabalin (Lyrica)	50 mg at bedtime	Monitor sedation, ataxia, edema.
Lamotrigine (Lamictal)	25 mg at bedtime	Monitor sedation, ataxia, cognition. Associated with rare cases of Stevens-Johnson syndrome.
Antiarrhythmic		······································
Mexiletine (Mexitil)	150 mg twice daily	Monitor electrocardiogram at baseline and after dose stabilization. Avoid use in patients with conduction block, bradyarrhythmia.
ther Drugs		
Corticosteroids (prednisone, methylprednisolone) (e.g., Deltasone, Medrol dose pak Liquid Pred, Orasone)	Example: 5 mg prednisone daily and taper as soon as feasible	Use lowest possible dose to prevent steroid effects. Anticipate fluid retention and glycemic effects in short-term use and cardiovascular and bone demineralization with long-term use.
Lidocaine (topical) (Lidoderm 5%)	1–3 patches for 12 hours per day	Monitor for rash or skin irritation.

Table 3. (Contd.)

Drug	Recommended Starting Dose*	Comments
Muscle Relaxant		
Baclofen (Lioresal)	5 mg up to three times daily	Monitor muscle weakness, urinary function, cognitive effects, sedation. Avoid abrupt discontinuation because of central nervous system irritability. Older persons rarely tolerate doses greater than 30 to 40 mg per day
Tizanidine (Zanaflex)	2 mg up to three times daily	Monitor muscle weakness, urinary function, cognitive effects, sedation, orthostasis. Potential for many drug-drug interactions.
Clonazepam (Klonopin)	0.25-0.5 mg at bedtime	Monitor sedation, memory, complete blood count.
Cannabinoid		
Nabilone (Cesamet)	1 mg daily or twice daily	Monitor ataxia, cognitive effects, sedation. High incidence of dizziness or drowsiness. Cardiovascular effects with tetrahydrocannabinol or cannabidiol. Older persons may be prone to postural hypotension. Nabilone is approved for nausea and vomiting but may help with some pain syndromes.
Dronabinol (Marinol)	2.5 mg once or twice daily	Dizziness, somnolence, cognitive impairment, dysphoria.
Dual-mechanism Drug		
Tramadol (Ultram/Ultram ER)	12.5–25 mg every 4–6 h	Mixed opioid and norepinephrine or serotonin reuptake inhibitor mechanisms of action. Monitor for opioid side effects, including drowsiness, constipation and nausea. Risk of seizures if used in high doses or in predisposed patients. May precipitate serotonin syndrome if used with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.
Tapentadol (Nucynta)	50 mg every 4–6 h by mouth (equivalent to oxycodone 10 mg every 4–6 hr by mouth)	Clinical trials of tapentadol suggest lower incidence of gastrointestinal adverse events than comparator opioids.

This table is meant to highlight common agents for the purposes of illustrating potentially underappreciated features of particular drugs. This table is not an endorsement of any therapeutic agent, nor is it intended to reflect a hierarchy of treatment. Similarly it is not meant to be an exhaustive listing. Doses listed should be checked with manufacturer's recommendations.

Older individuals often suffer from persistent musculoskeletal pain that is commonly treated with acetaminophen or NSAIDs. Although concern about hepatic toxicity with acetaminophen has been raised, it appears that the transient elevations of alanine aminotransferase that have been observed in long-term patients do not translate into liver failure or hepatic dysfunction when maximum recommended doses are avoided.^{39,40}

Acetaminophen is less effective for chronic inflammatory pain (such as the pain associated with rheumatoid arthritis) than NSAIDs. ⁴¹ Another potential advantage of NSAIDs over acetaminophen may be better short-term (e.g., 6 weeks) effectiveness for relieving osteoarthritis pain. ^{42,43} NSAIDs relieve short-term low back pain as well. ^{44,45} In the general adult population, over-the-counter dosing of selected NSAIDs has a good safety profile, ⁴⁶ although older adults are at higher risk for adverse NSAID effects. Particular caution must be exercised when considering NSAID therapy for individuals with low creatinine clearance, gastropathy, cardiovascular disease, or intravascularly depleted states such as congestive heart failure. A recent study of adverse drug reactions as a cause of hospitalization in older adults (≥65) implicated NSAIDs in

23.5% of cases.⁴⁷ This alone dictates particular caution with the use of all such agents.

In older persons, NSAID-associated adverse events include significant gastrointestinal toxicity, ⁴⁸ which increases in frequency and severity with age. ⁴⁹ At least in part, the gastrointestinal toxicity of NSAIDs may be dose related and time dependent. ^{50,51} Some small studies have found that nonacetylated NSAIDs (e.g., salsalate) possess lower gastrointestinal toxicity than aspirin, ^{52,53} although therapy with salsalate does not guarantee that gastrointestinal damage will not occur. ⁵⁴ The concern for gastrointestinal bleeding in chronic NSAID users is heightened in the setting of co-administration with low-dose aspirin, often employed for cardioprotective purposes. ^{55,56}

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) selective inhibitor NSAIDs were introduced in the hopes of mitigating traditional NSAID-related adverse effects.⁵⁷ For example, celecoxib appears to have fewer significant gastrointestinal adverse events associated with its use, whereas it maintains comparable clinical efficacy with traditional NSAIDs.^{58–60} However, the protection afforded by COX-2 selective inhibition against gastrointestinal bleeding is not complete, and other NSAID-related toxicities are no different with

^{*}Lowest starting dose should be considered in frail older persons with a history of sensitivity to central nervous system-active drugs.

[†]Only available in combination with acetaminophen or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID); see guideline for dose limitations based upon co-analgesic.

[‡] Available with or without acetaminophen or NSAID: see guideline for dose limitations based upon co-analgesic.

COX-2 inhibitors.⁶¹ The COX-2 selective inhibitors rofecoxib and valdecoxib were withdrawn from the market because of the associated risk of adverse cardiovascular events.⁶²

Topical NSAIDs such as diclofenac or salicylate derivatives have been used in hopes of averting systemic NSAID-related adverse effects. ⁶³ These agents appear to be safe and potentially effective over the short term (e.g., <4 weeks in many studies). ^{64,65} Adequate long-term studies are currently not available.

A third strategy to address potential NSAID toxicity involves co-administration of gastroprotective agents.⁶⁶ Concomitant administration of misoprostol, high-dose H₂-receptor antagonists, or proton pump inhibitors may reduce the risk for gastrointestinal ulceration in chronic NSAID users.⁶⁷ Whether an NSAID prescribed along with a proton pump inhibitor or monotherapy with a COX-2 selective inhibitor provides superior protection from incident dyspepsia, bleeding, or other gastrointestinal tract complications remains unclear.^{68,69} In individuals at high risk for recurrent gastrointestinal bleeding or ulceration, some evidence highlights the benefits of co-administration of a proton pump inhibitor with a COX-2 inhibitor.^{70,71}

Finally, eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* reduces the incidence of peptic ulceration in the population exposed to NSAIDs.^{72–75}

Special Considerations in the Use of Nonopioid Analgesics

Traditional and selective NSAIDs may adversely affect blood pressure control, ^{76–78} renal function, ^{79,80} and heart-failure management. ⁸¹ Some traditional NSAIDs also have the in vitro capacity to interfere with the antiplatelet effect of aspirin therapy. 82 To this end, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a warning in 2006 concerning the co-administration of aspirin and ibuprofen. The cardiovascular risks associated with NSAIDs (traditional and selective) deserve special attention.83,84 For example, a greater risk of myocardial infarction has been described in COX-2 inhibitor users. 85–87 Of the traditional NSAIDs, diclofenac has been identified as possessing potentially higher risk for adverse cardiovascular events. 88,89 Although earlier recommendations suggested a trial of NSAIDs if acetaminophen is ineffective, newer information suggests that this is often a risky strategy in older adults. The decision to prescribe NSAIDs in the management of persistent pain in older adults demands individualized consideration. Comorbidities, concomitant medications, and associated risk factors (including, possibly, genetics) all affect the decision to introduce such treatment. In some individuals, particularly those with previous positive experience with use of NSAIDs, decision-making must weigh the potential benefits of the improved function and health status that NSAIDs may provide against the risk profile. Key issues in the selection of NSAID therapy are pain amelioration, cardiovascular risk, nephrotoxicity, drug interactions, and gastrointestinal toxicity. In individuals in whom NSAID therapy is considered and in whom gastrointestinal risk is considered low, it may be reasonable to recommend or prescribe ibuprofen or naproxen. If gastrointestinal risk is higher, many physicians co-prescribe a proton pump inhibitor. In addition, if gastrointestinal risk is higher but not cardiovascular risk, and a COX-2 inhibitor is chosen, some clinicians recommend co-administration of a low-dose aspirin to provide cardioprotection. Finally, if higher gastrointestinal risk is present along with significant cardiovascular concern, low-dose aspirin with naproxen or a COX-2 inhibitor may be a more-reasonable therapeutic compromise than narcotics or other drugs.

Opioid Analgesics

In properly selected and monitored patients, opioid analgesics constitute a potentially effective and, for some patients, indispensable treatment as part of a multimodal strategy in the management of various types of persistent cancer and noncancer pain. 90-95 Clinical observations and the evidence provided by numerous published clinical trials have led to the development of clinical guidelines regarding the use of opioids in patients with persistent noncancer pain by the American Pain Society, American Academy of Pain Medicine, AGS, and others. 12,96,97 Furthermore, the evidence that use of NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors may result in serious and life-threatening gastrointestinal and cardiovascular adverse events or gastrointestinal bleeding has shifted attention to opioids, especially for older patients who may be at particular risk for NSAID-related adverse effects.⁸⁴ Controlled trials have established the efficacy of various opioids in the treatment of persistent pain associated with musculoskeletal conditions, including osteoarthritis⁹⁸ and low back pain, ^{99,100} and in the management of several neuropathic pain conditions, such as diabetic peripheral neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia. 101 Nonetheless, evidence of long-term effectiveness for persistent noncancer pain conditions in all age groups is lacking. Two recent meta-analyses 102,103 and a number of systematic reviews^{104–106} highlight the difficulties of assessing clinical trial data in support of opioid therapy for long-term management of persistent pain. The proper positioning of opioid therapy for older patients with persistent noncancer pain is based on comparing the potential efficacy and risks with those of other modalities and balancing them against the harms of unrelieved pain and potential adverse effects of opioid therapy.

All practitioners who care for older patients—geriatricians, pain specialists, and primary care providers—must consider their own clinical experience along with published evidence when deciding whether and how they will prescribe opioids. Use of opioids in older patients with persistent pain should be prescribed on a trial basis with clearly defined therapeutic goals. The trial may involve serial attempts to titrate the opioid to an efficacious dose without intolerable adverse effects. It should be understood that opioids will be discontinued if the trial is unsuccessful. In most persistent pain conditions that warrant opioid therapy, optimum management requires a comprehensive treatment program that also involves functional restorative and psychosocial modalities. Patients and their caregivers must understand that opioids are not a panacea or substitute for nonpharmacological therapies. On this basis, a trial of opioid therapy for older patients with moderate to severe persistent pain should be considered, guided by the following two sets of questions. 107

- (I) Initial Evaluation
- (1) What is conventional practice for this type of pain or
- (2) Is there an alternative therapy that is likely to have an equivalent or better therapeutic index for pain control, functional restoration, and improvement in quality of
- (3) Does the patient have medical problems that may increase the risk of opioid-related adverse effects?
- (4) Is the patient likely to manage the opioid therapy responsibly (or relevant caregiver likely to responsibly comanage)?
- (II) Role of Consultant or Specialist
- (1) Am I able to treat this patient without help?
- (2) Do I need the help of a pain specialist or other consultant to co-manage this patient?
- (3) Are there appropriate specialists and resources available to help me co-manage this patient?
- (4) Are the patient's medical, behavioral or social circumstances so complex as to warrant referral to a pain medicine specialist for treatment?

RISKS AND BENEFITS OF LONG-TERM OPIOID THERAPY

The potential adverse effects associated with opioids can present a barrier to long-term treatment. Although most of the adverse effects decrease with long-term use (with the notable exception of constipation), adverse events can be sufficiently debilitating to cause patients to discontinue therapy. 103,108 Respiratory depression, which affects respiratory rate, minute volume, and oxygen saturation, is the most serious adverse event and therefore deserves special consideration, although tolerance to this effect develops quickly. With long-term opioid therapy, respiratory depression usually results from excessively rapid dosing increases, drug-drug interactions with other central nervous system depressants (most notably benzodiazepines, alcohol, and barbiturates), and drug accumulation or accidental overdose from opioids with variable pharmacokinetic profiles, such as methadone. 109,110 Recent evidence has also shown that long-term opioid therapy may suppress the production of several hypothalamic, pituitary, gonadal, and adrenal hormones, manifesting most commonly as testosterone deficiency in men, with associated fatigue, depression, and decreased libido.111

When used over a protracted period of time, prescription opioid abuse may become a concern, especially in patients with a prior history of a substance use disorder (including tobacco use). 112,113 Prescription opioid diversion and use of these agents outside specified medical indications and directions has placed an increasingly significant burden on the healthcare system and on society as a whole. Associated financial costs, including medical costs, lost productivity, and the additional burden on the criminal justice system, reached an estimated \$9.5 billion in the United States in 2005.114

Addiction is a chronic, neurobiological disease characterized by one or more of the following behaviors: impaired control over drug use, compulsive use, continued use despite harm, and craving. 115 The likelihood that a patient will abuse opioid medications correlates with a number of

Table 4. Available Resources for Published Guidelines and Statements from State Medical Boards for Responsible Opioid Treatment Regimens

PHARMACOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF PERSISTENT PAIN IN OLDER PERSONS

Society	Link to Resources
American Academy of Pain Medicine	http://www.painmed.org/clinical_info/ guidelines.html
American Pain Society	http://www.ampainsoc.org/pub/ cp_guidelines.htm http://www.ampainsoc.org/links/ clinician1.htm
Federation of State Medical Boards	http://www.fsmb.org/RE/PAIN/resource.html
American Academy of Pain Management	http://www.aapainmanage.org/literature/ publications.php

genetic and environmental factors, 116 and for those who are genetically predisposed, certain factors will precipitate the addiction. Although the risks are exceedingly low in older patients with no current or past history of substance abuse, it is impossible to identify every patient who will abuse or divert prescribed opioids. 117 Therefore, many clinicians have adopted a Universal Precautions approach to pain management. 118 This paradigm stresses that every patient should be assessed for risk factors related to the potentially problematic use of pain medication. Such an approach seeks to protect patients from the harm of substance abuse and helps primary care providers meet their legal and regulatory responsibilities. Various sources, including published guidelines and statements from state medical boards, are available to help clinicians assess and monitor patients with persistent pain for responsible opioid use (Table 4).119,120

For an initial risk assessment, tools such as the Opioid Risk Tool (ORT)¹²¹ and the revised version of the Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain (SOAPP-R)¹²² are available to help determine the presence of risk factors known to be associated with problematic drug use. The ORT is a brief, validated questionnaire that assigns a sex-specific score to patients based on five general risk factors for future aberrant opioid-related behaviors. These risk factors are a personal history of substance abuse, a family history of substance abuse, relatively young age, mental illness, and a history of preadolescent sexual abuse. 121 The 24-item SOAPP-R was empirically derived from an initial pool of 142 conceptually predictive indicators of, or risk factors for, future aberrant opioid use. 122 Scores on the ORT and the SOAPP-R are used to stratify patients as low, medium, or high risk, which in turn informs their treatment plan. Patients who have already been prescribed opioid medications can be assessed using the Current Opioid Misuse Measure, a 17-question self-assessment designed to identify ongoing patient misuse of opioid medication.¹²³ These tools should be used to supplement a physical examination, patient interviews, the healthcare provider's clinical experience, and diligent monitoring as a component of a comprehensive initial and ongoing risk assessment. The patient interview may help to validate claims of pain, explore drug and alcohol use, and determine the safety of opioids within the patient's home while also helping to identify potential risk factors in treatment.

Stratification of patients is not meant to deny treatment to those classified as being at high risk for abuse. Rather, it allows the clinician to consider who can be treated without consultation, who should be co-managed with the assistance of a specialist, and who should be referred to medical providers with extensive experience in pain medicine or addiction medicine. ¹⁰⁷

Although clinicians should remain vigilant about the possibility of misuse or abuse of opioid agents in all patients irrespective of age, older age is significantly associated with lower risk for opioid misuse and abuse. 112,113,124,125 Some authors suggest that underuse of opioids in older populations constitutes a greater problem. 126 Given that older patients may not fill prescriptions or may take opioid medications sparingly because of multiple concerns (e.g., fear of addiction, costs, fear of constipation, negative social stigma), clinicians are encouraged to query patients about their beliefs and prior experiences with this class of medications before beginning an opioid medication.

Adjuvant Drugs

A number of drugs from various classes that were developed for purposes other than pain relief have been found in traditional experimental pain models to alter or attenuate pain perception in many pain-producing conditions without raising the pain threshold. These agents, now conventionally termed adjuvant drugs, originally appeared in the cancer pain literature, although the term is now used regardless of pain etiology. Drug classes include antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and other agents that alter neural membrane potentials, ion channels, cell surface receptor sites, synaptic neurotransmitter levels, and other neuronal processes involved in pain signal processing. Adjuvant drugs may be used alone or co-administered with nonopioid or opioid analgesics and are used in a variety of persistent pain conditions, especially neuropathic pain.

Tricyclic antidepressants (including amitriptyline, desipramine, and nortriptyline) were the first drugs found to reduce pain associated with postherpetic neuralgia and painful peripheral diabetic neuropathy, but the adverseeffect profile of this class of drugs often contraindicates their use in older patients. More recent pharmacological advances in the treatment of depression have included selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and mixed serotonin- and norepinephrine-uptake inhibitors (SNRIs). The SNRIs (duloxetine, venlafaxine) are particularly effective in the treatment of various neuropathic pain conditions and fibromyalgia, with a better side-effect profile than the tricyclic antidepressants. In contrast, SSRI drugs (sertraline, fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, citalopram) have not proved to be effective against pain. Gabapentin, pregabalin, and other anticonvulsant agents with similar mechanisms of action at voltage-gated calcium ion channels have been found to have beneficial effects in various neuropathic pain conditions more-benign side-effect profiles than older anticonvulsant and antidepressant tricyclic drugs. 128-133

To minimize adverse effects, all pain-modulating drugs must be carefully titrated and monitored frequently. Regular phone contact and follow-up visits should be scheduled to assess therapeutic effects and monitor for adverse reactions.

Other Drugs for Pain

Anecdotal evidence and a limited number of studies have indicated that other drugs, as a group, are less reliable than opioids and traditional analgesics in the treatment of persistent pain. These observations are often based on small patient populations in which subjects may be less responsive to other drugs or have a higher likelihood for side effects or a slower onset of action (in some cases related to the need for long titration periods to avoid side effects). In the absence of data from well-controlled clinical trials that are easily applicable to a given clinical situation, the use of these nonopioid, nontraditional drugs is largely a matter of clinical judgment.¹³⁴

Corticosteroids

Analgesic effects have been described for a variety of systemically administered corticosteroids in a broad range of dosages for a variety of conditions. Effective use has been documented for rheumatic and autoimmune arthropathies and vasculidities, including rheumatoid arthritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, giant cell arteritis, other autoimmune disorders, and acute crystal-induced arthropathies. Efficacy has also been suggested for some neuropathic pain syndromes (sympathetic dystrophies); cancer pain, including bone pain, infiltration, or compression of nerves; headache due to intracranial pressure; and pain related to bowel obstruction. 135 Current evidence is unable to clearly differentiate between corticosteroids in terms of acute or long-term efficacy or dose-response relationships. The well-known side effects and serious toxicity of short- and long-term use of corticosteroids often limit their overall safety to lowdose, short-term administration or use in patients near the end of life.

Muscle Relaxants

Muscle relaxant drugs include cyclobenzaprine, carisoprodol, chlorzoxazone, methocarbamol, and others. Cyclobenzaprine is essentially identical to amitriptyline, with potential adverse effects similar to those of amitriptyline. In addition, carisoprodol has been removed from the European market because of concerns about drug abuse. Although these drugs may relieve skeletal muscle pain, their effects are nonspecific and not related to muscle relaxation.¹³⁴ Therefore, they should not be prescribed in the mistaken belief that they relieve muscle spasm. Muscle relaxants may inhibit polysynaptic myogenic reflexes in animal models, but whether this is related to pain relief remains unknown. If muscle spasm is suspected to be at the root of the patient's pain, it is probably justified to consider another drug with known effects on muscle spasm (e.g., benzodiazepines, baclofen). Clinicians should be aware that many of these drugs may be associated with greater risk for falls in older persons.

Baclofen is an agonist of the gamma amino butyric acid type B. Although its efficacy has been documented as a second-line drug for paroxysmal neuropathic pain, it has been used in patients with severe spasticity as a result of central nervous system injury, demyelinating conditions, and other neuromuscular disorders. Starting with a low dose and gradually increasing the prescribed amount may minimize the common side effects of dizziness, somnolence, and gastrointestinal symptoms. Discontinuation after prolonged

use requires a slow tapering period because of the potential for delirium and seizure.

Benzodiazepines

The efficacy of benzodiazepines in the management of persistent pain is limited. Current information does not support a direct analgesic effect of these drugs. ¹³⁷ The high risk profile in older adults usually obviates any potential benefit that such agents might render in terms of pain relief, although they may be justified for management of anxiety (particularly in the setting of delivering end-of-life care) or in a trial for relief of muscle spasm, especially in common situations in which anxiety, muscle spasm, and pain coexist.

Calcitonin and Bisphosphonates

Calcitonin may be helpful in various cases of bone pain and as a second-line treatment for some neuropathic conditions. Studies have suggested that calcitonin may relieve pain resulting from postosteoporotic vertebral compression fractures and pelvic fractures and in cancer patients with bone metastases. ^{138,139} The mechanism by which calcitonin relieves pain remains unknown. Apart from hypersensitivity reactions, the main side effects of calcitonin are nausea and altered serum levels of calcium and phosphorus. Therefore, assessment of calcium and phosphorus may be advisable.

Bisphosphonates may also provide analgesia in patients with cancer with metastases, particularly in those with breast or prostate cancer or multiple myeloma. Data are promising for pamidronate and clodronate. Other drugs in this class have low potency or have not been studied. Side effects of bisphosphonates are usually related to nausea, esophagitis, or occasional hypocalcemia.

Topical Analgesics

Literature reviewed for this publication indicates that randomized, placebo-controlled trials of the lidocaine 5% patch have been limited to the treatment of neuropathic pain. Evidence suggests that the lidocaine 5% patch is effective in cases of postherpetic neuralgia, but the observed benefit does not usually compare with that of systemic gabapentin or tricyclic antidepressants. 141 Fewer controlled data are available for the lidocaine patch in other neuropathic conditions or in nonneuropathic pain. Since receiving FDA approval for the treatment of postherpetic neuralgia, the patch has been used widely off label for other neuropathic conditions, diabetic neuropathies, chronic low back pain, osteoarthritis, bone metastasis, and even chronic wounds, despite direct warnings by the manufacturer against its use in wound care. The rapid adoption of this product is related to its ease of use, absence of toxicity, and lack of drug interactions. Pharmacokinetic studies have shown that systemic lidocaine levels remain within a safe range with doses of up to four patches in 24 hours. Adverse reactions are rare, mild, and mostly related to skin rash. The patch is contraindicated in advanced liver failure because of decreased lidocaine clearance.

Eutectic mixture of lidocaine and prilocaine (EMLA) is a mixture of the local anesthetics prilocaine and lidocaine. EMLA is capable of penetrating the skin to form a local cutaneous anesthesia and is often used to prevent the pain of needle puncture or incision.¹⁴² There is a risk of systemic

toxicity if used repeatedly or near mucus membranes or open wounds.

Topical capsaicin cream has been shown to provide some benefit in the reduction of neuropathic and nonneuropathic pain, 143,144 although 30% of patients may not be able to tolerate the burning sensation associated with treatment initiation. This burning sensation may persist for several months. Observations suggest that depletion of substance P, with resulting analgesia, may require several weeks of continuous exposure. For this reason, prolonged trials may be needed for some patients. Newer formulations that also contain aspirin, NSAIDs, local anesthetics, or tricyclic antidepressant preparations may help ameliorate the burning sensation and reduce premature treatment cessation.

Topical NSAIDs have shown some efficacy in a few studies of persistent pain management. 145,146 Studies of topical aspirin, indomethacin, diclofenac, piroxicam, and ketoprofen have reported mixed results in neuropathic and nonneuropathic pain syndromes. Currently there are two diclofenac topical preparations that have received FDA approval for pain management. Systemic absorption appears to be minimal when these agents are used in recommended doses, and although the reported toxicity seems to be low, the biology of these agents is not fully understood. Randomized, placebo-controlled trials have demonstrated that the benefit is not simply a placebo effect related to the soothing sensation of rubbing cream onto a painful area.

Cannabinoids

Antinociceptive effects have been observed with the use of cannabinoids in animal models and in a few controlled clinical trials of humans with persistent pain. ^{147–149} In older patients, the therapeutic window for cannabinoids appears to be narrow because of the dysphoric response that older patients and those using higher doses may experience.

GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

Nonopioids

- (I) Acetaminophen should be considered as initial and ongoing pharmacotherapy in the treatment of persistent pain, particularly musculoskeletal pain, owing to its demonstrated effectiveness and good safety profile (high quality of evidence; strong recommendation).
- (A) Absolute contraindications: liver failure (high quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (B) Relative contraindications and cautions: hepatic insufficiency, chronic alcohol abuse or dependence (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (C) Maximum daily recommended dosages of 4 g per 24 hours should not be exceeded and must include "hidden sources" such as from combination pills (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (II) Nonselective NSAIDs and COX-2 selective inhibitors may be considered rarely, and with extreme caution, in highly selected individuals (high quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
 - (A) Patient selection: other (safer) therapies have failed; evidence of continuing therapeutic goals not met;

- ongoing assessment of risks and complications outweighed by therapeutic benefits (low quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (B) Absolute contraindications: current active peptic ulcer disease (low quality of evidence, strong recommendation), chronic kidney disease (moderate level of evidence, strong recommendation), heart failure (moderate level of evidence, weak recommendation).
- (C) Relative contraindications and cautions: hypertension, Helicobacter pylori, history of peptic ulcer disease, concomitant use of corticosteroids or SSRIs (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (III) Older persons taking nonselective NSAIDs should use a proton pump inhibitor or misoprostol for gastrointestinal protection (high quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (IV) Patients taking a COX-2 selective inhibitor with aspirin should use a proton pump inhibitor or misoprostol for gastrointestinal protection (high quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (V) Patients should not take more than one nonselective NSAID or COX-2 selective inhibitor for pain control (low quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (VI) Patients taking aspirin for cardioprophylaxis should not use ibuprofen (moderate quality of evidence, weak recommendation).
- (VII) All patients taking nonselective NSAIDs and COX-2 selective inhibitors should be routinely assessed for gastrointestinal and renal toxicity, hypertension, heart failure, and other drug-drug and drug-disease interactions (weak quality of evidence, strong recommendation).

Opioids

- (VIII) All patients with moderate to severe pain, pain-related functional impairment, or diminished quality of life due to pain should be considered for opioid therapy (low quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
 - (IX) Patients with frequent or continuous pain on a daily basis may be treated with around-the-clock timecontingent dosing aimed at achieving steady-state opioid therapy (low quality of evidence, weak recommendation).
 - (X) Clinicians should anticipate, assess for, and identify potential opioid-associated adverse effects (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XI) Maximal safe doses of acetaminophen or NSAIDs should not be exceeded when using fixed-dose opioid combination agents as part of an analgesic regimen (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XII) When long-acting opioid preparations are prescribed, breakthrough pain should be anticipated, assessed, and prevented or treated using short-acting immediate-release opioid medications (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).

(XIII) Only clinicians well versed in the use and risks of methadone should initiate it and titrate it cautiously (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).

(XIV) Patients taking opioid analgesics should be reassessed for ongoing attainment of therapeutic goals, adverse effects, and safe and responsible medication use (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).

Adjuvant Analgesic Drugs

- (XV) All patients with neuropathic pain are candidates for adjuvant analgesics (strong quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XVI) Patients with fibromyalgia are candidates for a trial of approved adjuvant analysics (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XVII) Patients with other types of refractory persistent pain may be candidates for certain adjuvant analgesics (e.g., back pain, headache, diffuse bone pain, temporomandibular disorder) (low quality of evidence, weak recommendation).
- (XVIII) Tertiary tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline, imipramine, doxepin) should be avoided because of higher risk for adverse effects (e.g., anticholinergic effects, cognitive impairment) (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
 - (XIX) Agents may be used alone, but often the effects are enhanced when used in combination with other pain analgesics and nondrug strategies (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
 - (XX) Therapy should begin with the lowest possible dose and increase slowly based on response and side effects, with the caveat that some agents have a delayed onset of action and therapeutic benefits are slow to develop. For example, gabapentin may require 2 to 3 weeks for onset of efficacy (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
 - (XXI) An adequate therapeutic trial should be conducted before discontinuation of a seemingly ineffective treatment (weak quality of evidence, strong recommendation).

Other Drugs

- (XXII) Long-term systemic corticosteroids should be reserved for patients with pain-associated inflammatory disorders or metastatic bone pain. Osteoarthritis should not be considered an inflammatory disorder (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XXIII) All patients with localized neuropathic pain are candidates for topical lidocaine (moderate quality of evidence, strong recommendation).
- (XXIV) Patients with localized nonneuropathic pain may be candidates for topical lidocaine (low quality of evidence, weak recommendation).
- (XXV) All patients with other localized nonneuropathic persistent pain may be candidates for topical NSAIDs (moderate quality of evidence, weak recommendation).

- (XXVI) Other topical agents, including capsaicin or menthol, may be considered for regional pain syndromes (moderate quality of evidence, weak recommendation).
- (XXVII) Many other agents for specific pain syndromes may require caution in older persons and merit further research (e.g., glucosamine, chondroitin, cannabinoids, botulinum toxin, alpha-2 adrenergic agonists, calcitonin, vitamin D, bisphosphonates, ketamine) (low quality of evidence, weak recommendation).

PANEL MEMBERS AND AFFILIATIONS

The AGS Panel on Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons includes: Bruce Ferrell, MD (Chairman), UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA; Charles E. Argoff, MD, Albany Medical Center, Albany, NY; Jerome Epplin, MD, Litchfield Family Practice Center, Litchfield, IL; Perry Fine, MD, University of Utah Pain Management Center, Salt Lake City, UT; F. Michael Gloth, III, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; Keela Herr, PhD, RN, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; James D. Katz, MD, George Washington University, Washington, DC; David R. Mehr, MD, MS, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO; M. Carrington Reid, MD, PhD, Weill Cornell Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY; Lori Reisner, PharmD, University of California at San Francisco School of Pharmacy, San Francisco, CA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sue Radcliff, Independent Researcher, Denver, Colorado, provided research services. Editorial services were provided by Katherine Addleman, PhD. Additional research and administrative support were provided by Corrie Fierstein, MPA, Elvy Ickowicz, MPH, and Nancy Lundebjerg, MPA, American Geriatrics Society, New York, New York, provided additional research and administrative support.

The following organizations with special interest and expertise in the management of pain in older persons provided peer review of a preliminary draft of this guideline: American Academy of Family Physicians; American Academy of Pain Medicine; American Academy of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation; American College of Clinical Pharmacy; American College of Physicians; American Medical Association; American Medical Directors Association; American Society of Anesthesiologists; Gerontological Society of America; National Academies of Practice, Academy of Pharmacy; and the Oncology Nursing Society.

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Argoff serves as a paid consultant or served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for Endo Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer Inc., Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline, King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and AlPharma Inc. He also has grants or has received grants in the past 12 months from Endo Pharmaceuticals and Cephalon. He currently is a member or has been a member of the speaker's bureau within the past 12 months for Eli Lilly and Company, Endo Pharmaceuticals, King Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer Inc., and PriCara and currently holds or has held shares of Pfizer Inc. Dr. Fine currently serves as a paid

consultant or has served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for Abbot Labs, Alkermes Inc., Ameritox, Alpharma Inc., Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Pricara), Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Cephalon, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly Forest Laboratories, King Pharmaceuticals, NeuroAdjuvants, and Purdue Pharma. He currently is a member of the speakers bureau or has been a member of the speakers bureau within the past 12 months for Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and has commercial interest with Johnson & Johnson and Cephalon. Dr. Gloth currently serves as a paid consultant or has served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for Endo Pharmacueticals, Novartis, Wyeth, and XL Health. He currently is a member of the speakers bureau or has been a member of the speaker bureau within the past 12 months for Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Endo Pharmaceuticals, Merck, and Novartis. Dr. Gloth has received grants from Merck and is the President of Smart E-Records, LLC (Electronic Health Record Co.). Dr. Herr serves as a paid consultant or served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for Purdue Pharma LP, AlPharma Inc., Cephalon and Endo Pharmaceuticals, and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Advisory Boards. She also serves or has served as a member of the speakers bureau within the past 12 months for Wyeth Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Katz currently serves as a paid consultant or has served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for the American Academy of CME, Inc., and serves as a paid consultant for UCB Pharma SA. Sue Radcliff currently serves as a paid consultant or has served as a paid consultant within the past 12 months for the AGS, Healthcare Quality Informatics, and the International Longevity Center.

Author Contributions: See text.

Sponsor's Role: AGS (Provided organizational administrative support).

REFERENCES

- Sternbach RA. Clinical aspects of pain. In: Sternbach RA, editor. The Psychology of Pain. New York, NY: Raven Press, 1978, pp 223–239.
- Weiner D, Herr K. Comprehensive interdisciplinary assessment and treatment planning: An integrative overview. In: Weiner D, Herr K, Rudy T, editors. Persistent Pain in Older Adults: An Interdisciplinary Guide for Treatment. New York: Springer Publishing Company, 2002, pp 18–57.
- Ferrell BA. Overview of aging and pain. In: Ferrell BR, Ferrell BA, editors. Pain in the Elderly. Seattle: IASP Press, 1996, pp 1–10.
- He W, Sengupta M, Velkoff VA et al. 65+ in the United States: 2005. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Reports, U.S. Government Printing Office, 2005, pp 23–209.
- Donald IP, Foy C. A longitudinal study of joint pain in older people. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2004;43:1256–1260.
- Helme RD, Gibson SJ. The epidemiology of pain in elderly people. Clin Geriatr Med 2001;17:417–431, v.
- Thomas E, Peat G, Harris L et al. The prevalence of pain and pain interference in a general population of older adults: Cross-sectional findings from the North Staffordshire Osteoarthritis Project (NorStOP). Pain 2004;110:361– 368.
- Rao A, Cohen HJ. Symptom management in the elderly cancer patient: Fatigue, pain, and depression. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2004;32: 150–157
- Potter J, Higginson IJ. Pain experienced by lung cancer patients: A review of prevalence, causes and pathophysiology. Lung Cancer 2004;43: 247–257.
- Ferrell BA, Ferrell BR, Osterweil D. Pain in the nursing home. J Am Geriatr Soc 1990;38:409–414.
- Ferrell BA, Ferrell BR, Rivera L. Pain in cognitively impaired nursing home patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1995;10:591–598.

- AGS Panel on Persistent Pain in Older Persons. The management of persistent pain in older persons. American Geriatrics Society. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002:50:S205–S224
- AGS Panel on Chronic Pain in Older Persons. The management of chronic pain in older persons. American Geriatrics Society. J Am Geriatr Soc 1998;46:635–651.
- Guyatt G, Gutterman D, Baumann MH et al. Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guidelines: Report from an American College of Chest Physicians Task Force. Chest 2006;129: 174–181.
- Jaeschke R, Jankowski M, Brozek J et al. How to develop guidelines for clinical practice. Minerva Anestesiol 2008 Nov 11. [Epub ahead of print].
- 16. Qaseem A, Snow V, Shekelle P et al. Evidence-based interventions to improve the palliative care of pain, dyspnea, and depression at the end of life: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2008:148:141–146.
- Ferrell BA. Pain. In: Osterweil D, Brummel-Smith K, Beck JC, eds. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment. New York: McGraw Hill, 2000, pp 381–397.
- 18. Helme RD, Katz B, Gibson SJ et al. Multidisciplinary pain clinics for older people. Do they have a role? Clin Geriatr Med 1996;12:563–582.
- Stanos S, Houle TT. Multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary management of chronic pain. Phys Med Rehabil Clin north Am 2006;17:435–450, vii.
- American Pain Society. Principles of Analgesic Use in the Treatment of Acute Pain and Cancer Pain, 6th Ed. Glenview: American Pain Society, 2008, pp 1–101.
- Buffum MD, Hutt E, Chang VT et al. Cognitive impairment and pain management: Review of issues and challenges. J Rehabil Res Dev 2007;44:315

 330.
- 22. Chibnall JT, Tait RC. Pain assessment in cognitively impaired and unimpaired older adults: A comparison of four scales. Pain 2001;92:173–186.
- Kaasalainen S, Crook J. A comparison of pain-assessment tools for use with elderly long-term-care residents. Can J Nurs Res 2003;35:58–71.
- Pautex S, Herrmann F, Le Lous P et al. Feasibility and reliability of four pain self-assessment scales and correlation with an observational rating scale in hospitalized elderly demented patients. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2005;60A:524–529.
- Taylor LJ, Harris J, Epps CD et al. Psychometric evaluation of selected pain intensity scales for use with cognitively impaired and cognitively intact older adults. Rehabil Nurs 2005;30:55–61.
- Herr K, Coyne PJ, Key T et al. Pain assessment in the nonverbal patient: Position statement with clinical practice recommendations. Pain Manage Nurs 2006;7:44–52.
- Hadjistavropoulos T, Herr K, Turk DC et al. An interdisciplinary expert consensus statement on assessment of pain in older persons. Clin J Pain 2007;23:S1–S43.
- Delgado-Guay MO, Bruera E. Management of pain in the older person with cancer. Part 2: Treatment options. Oncology (Williston Park) 2008;22:148– 152, Discussion 152, 155, 160 passim.
- Fine PG. Opioid analgesic drugs in older people. Clin Geriatr Med 2001;17:479–487.
- Fine PG. Pharmacological management of persistent pain in older patients. Clin J Pain 2004;20:220–226.
- 31. Pergolizzi J, Boger RH, Budd K et al. Opioids and the management of chronic severe pain in the elderly: Consensus statement of an International Expert Panel with focus on the six clinically most often used World Health Organization Step III opioids (buprenorphine, fentanyl, hydromorphone, methadone, morphine, oxycodone). Pain Pract 2008;8:287–313.
- Morone NE, Greco CM. Mind-body interventions for chronic pain in older adults: A structured review. Pain Med 2007;8:359–375.
- Weiner DK, Ernst E. Complementary and alternative approaches to the treatment of persistent musculoskeletal pain. Clin J Pain 2004;20: 244–255.
- 34. Chou R, Huffman LH. Medications for acute and chronic low back pain: A review of the evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med 2007;147: 505-514
- Zhang W, Jones A, Doherty M. Does paracetamol (acetaminophen) reduce the pain of osteoarthritis? A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:901–907.
- 36. Abramson SB. Et tu, acetaminophen? Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:2831-2835.
- 37. Lewis SC, Langman MJ, Laporte JR et al. Dose-response relationships between individual nonaspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NANSAIDs) and serious upper gastrointestinal bleeding: A meta-analysis based on individual patient data. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2002;54: 320–326.

- Wegman A, van der Windt D, van Tulder M et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs or acetaminophen for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee? A systematic review of evidence and guidelines. J Rheumatol 2004;31:344–354
- Kuffner EK, Temple AR, Cooper KM et al. Retrospective analysis of transient elevations in alanine aminotransferase during long-term treatment with acetaminophen in osteoarthritis clinical trials. Curr Med Res Opin 2006;22:2137–2148.
- Watkins PB, Kaplowitz N, Slattery JT et al. Aminotransferase elevations in healthy adults receiving 4 grams of acetaminophen daily: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2006;296:87–93.
- Wienecke T, Gotzsche PC. Paracetamol versus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2004: CD003789.
- Lee C, Straus WL, Balshaw R et al. A comparison of the efficacy and safety of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents versus acetaminophen in the treatment of osteoarthritis: A meta-analysis. Arthritis Rheum 2004;51: 746–754.
- Towheed TE, Maxwell L, Judd MG et al. Acetaminophen for osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2006: CD004257.
- Bjordal JM, Ljunggren AE, Klovning A et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, including cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, in osteoarthritic knee pain: Meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled trials. BMJ 2004; 329:1317.
- Roelofs PD, Deyo RA, Koes BW et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2008: CD000396
- Bansal V, Dex T, Proskin H et al. A look at the safety profile of over-thecounter naproxen sodium: A meta-analysis. J Clin Pharmacol 2001;41:127– 138.
- Franceschi M, Scarcelli C, Niro V et al. Prevalence, clinical features and avoidability of adverse drug reactions as cause of admission to a geriatric unit: A prospective study of 1756 patients. Drug Saf 2008;31:545– 556.
- Ofman JJ, MacLean CH, Straus WL et al. A metaanalysis of severe upper gastrointestinal complications of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. J Rheumatol 2002;29:804–812.
- Boers M, Tangelder MJ, van Ingen H et al. The rate of NSAID-induced endoscopic ulcers increases linearly but not exponentially with age: A pooled analysis of 12 randomised trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:417– 418
- Ofman JJ, Maclean CH, Straus WL et al. Meta-analysis of dyspepsia and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Arthritis Rheum 2003;49: 508–518.
- 51. Richy F, Bruyere O, Ethgen O et al. Time dependent risk of gastrointestinal complications induced by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use: A consensus statement using a meta-analytic approach. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:759–766.
- 52. April P, Abeles M, Baraf H et al. Does the acetyl group of aspirin contribute to the antiinflammatory efficacy of salicylic acid in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis? Semin Arthritis Rheum 1990;19:20–28.
- Cryer B, Goldschmiedt M, Redfern JS et al. Comparison of salsalate and aspirin on mucosal injury and gastroduodenal mucosal prostaglandins. Gastroenterology 1990;99:1616–1621.
- Lanza F, Rack MF, Doucette M et al. An endoscopic comparison of the gastroduodenal injury seen with salsalate and naproxen. J Rheumatol 1989;16:1570–1574.
- de Abajo FJ, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and perforation associated with low-dose aspirin as plain and enteric-coated formulations. BMC Clin Pharmacol 2001;1:1.
- McKellar G, Madhok R, Singh G. Update on the use of analgesics versus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in rheumatic disorders: Risks and benefits. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2008;20:239–245.
- Christensen S, Riis A, Norgaard M et al. Introduction of newer selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors and rates of hospitalization with bleeding and perforated peptic ulcer. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25: 907–912.
- Goldstein JL, Correa P, Zhao WW et al. Reduced incidence of gastroduodenal ulcers with celecoxib, a novel cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, compared to naproxen in patients with arthritis. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96: 1019–1027.
- 59. Moore RA, Derry S, Makinson GT et al. Tolerability and adverse events in clinical trials of celecoxib in osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of information from company clinical trial reports. Arthritis Res Ther 2005;7:R644–R665.
- Singh G, Fort JG, Goldstein JL et al. Celecoxib versus naproxen and diclofenac in osteoarthritis patients: SUCCESS-I Study. Am J Med 2006; 119:255–266.

- Moore RA, Derry S, Phillips CJ et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), cyxlooxygenase-2 selective inhibitors (coxibs) and gastrointestinal harm: Review of clinical trials and clinical practice. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2006;7:79.
- Setakis E, Leufkens HG, van Staa TP. Changes in the characteristics of patients prescribed selective cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitors after the 2004 withdrawal of rofecoxib. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1105–1111.
- Tugwell PS, Wells GA, Shainhouse JZ. Equivalence study of a topical diclofenac solution (pennsaid) compared with oral diclofenac in symptomatic treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized controlled trial. J Rheumatol 2004;31:2002–2012.
- Mason L, Moore RA, Edwards JE et al. Topical NSAIDs for chronic musculoskeletal pain: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2004;5:28.
- Mason L, Moore RA, Edwards JE et al. Systematic review of efficacy of topical rubefacients containing salicylates for the treatment of acute and chronic pain. BMJ 2004;328:995.
- Hawkey CJ, Jones RH, Yeomans ND et al. Efficacy of esomeprazole for resolution of symptoms of heartburn and acid regurgitation in continuous users of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25:813–821.
- Rostom A, Dube C, Wells G et al. Prevention of NSAID-induced gastroduodenal ulcers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002: CD0022960
- Hur C, Chan AT, Tramontano AC et al. Coxibs versus combination NSAID and PPI therapy for chronic pain: An exploration of the risks, benefits, and costs. Ann Pharmacother 2006;40:1052–1063.
- Spiegel BM, Farid M, Dulai GS et al. Comparing rates of dyspepsia with Coxibs vs NSAID+PPI: A meta-analysis. Am J Med 2006;119:448e427– 448e436.
- Blandizzi C, Tuccori M, Colucci R et al. Clinical efficacy of esomeprazole in the prevention and healing of gastrointestinal toxicity associated with NSAIDs in elderly patients. Drugs Aging 2008;25:197–208.
- Chan FK, Wong VW, Suen BY et al. Combination of a cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitor and a proton-pump inhibitor for prevention of recurrent ulcer bleeding in patients at very high risk: A double-blind, randomised trial. Lancet 2007;369:1621–1626.
- Chan FK, To KF, Wu JC et al. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori and risk of peptic ulcers in patients starting long-term treatment with non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs: A randomised trial. Lancet 2002;359:9–13.
- Giral A, Ozdogan O, Celikel CA et al. Effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication on anti-thrombotic dose aspirin-induced gastroduodenal mucosal injury. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;19:773–777.
- Huang JQ, Sridhar S, Hunt RH. Role of Helicobacter pylori infection and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in peptic-ulcer disease: A meta-analysis. Lancet 2002;359:14–22.
- Vergara M, Catalan M, Gisbert JP et al. Meta-analysis: Role of Helicobacter pylori eradication in the prevention of peptic ulcer in NSAID users. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2005;21:1411–1418.
- Aw TJ, Haas SJ, Liew D et al. Meta-analysis of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors and their effects on blood pressure. Arch Intern Med 2005; 165:490–496.
- Izhar M, Alausa T, Folker A et al. Effects of COX inhibition on blood pressure and kidney function in ACE inhibitor-treated blacks and hispanics. Hypertension 2004;43:573–577.
- Whelton A, Fort JG, Puma JA et al. Cyclooxygenase-2–specific inhibitors and cardiorenal function: A randomized, controlled trial of celecoxib and rofecoxib in older hypertensive osteoarthritis patients. Am J Ther 2001;8:85–95.
- Juhlin T, Bjorkman S, Hoglund P. Cyclooxygenase inhibition causes marked impairment of renal function in elderly subjects treated with diuretics and ACE-inhibitors. Eur J Heart Fail 2005;7:1049–1056.
- Niccoli L, Bellino S, Cantini F. Renal tolerability of three commonly employed non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in elderly patients with osteoarthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2002;20:201–207.
- Juhlin T, Bjorkman S, Gunnarsson B et al. Acute administration of diclofenac, but possibly not long term low dose aspirin, causes detrimental renal effects in heart failure patients treated with ACE-inhibitors. Eur J Heart Fail 2004;6:909–916.
- Gladding PA, Webster MW, Farrell HB et al. The antiplatelet effect of six non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and their pharmacodynamic interaction with aspirin in healthy volunteers. Am J Cardiol 2008;101: 1060–1063
- Abraham NS, El-Serag HB, Hartman C et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 selectivity
 of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and the risk of myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accident. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25:
 913–924.

- Singh G, Wu O, Langhorne P et al. Risk of acute myocardial infarction with nonselective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: A meta-analysis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006;8:R153
- Caldwell B, Aldington S, Weatherall M et al. Risk of cardiovascular events and celecoxib: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J R Soc Med 2006;99:132–140.
- Chen LC, Ashcroft DM. Risk of myocardial infarction associated with selective COX-2 inhibitors: Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2007;16:762–772.
- Scott PA, Kingsley GH, Smith CM et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and myocardial infarctions: Comparative systematic review of evidence from observational studies and randomised controlled trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:1296–1304.
- Kearney PM, Baigent C, Godwin J et al. Do selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors and traditional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs increase the risk of atherothrombosis? Meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ 2006;332:1302–1308.
- McGettigan P, Henry D. Cardiovascular risk and inhibition of cyclooxygenase: A systematic review of the observational studies of selective and nonselective inhibitors of cyclooxygenase 2. JAMA 2006;296:1633–1644.
- Avouac J, Gossec L, Dougados M. Efficacy and safety of opioids for osteoarthritis: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Osteoarthr Cartilage 2007;15:957–965.
- 91. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: A joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:478–491.
- Eisenberg E, McNicol ED, Carr DB. Efficacy and safety of opioid agonists in the treatment of neuropathic pain of nonmalignant origin: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. JAMA 2005;293:3043– 3052
- Moulin DE, Clark AJ, Gilron I et al. Pharmacological management of chronic neuropathic pain—consensus statement and guidelines from the Canadian Pain Society. Pain Res Manage 2007;12:13–21.
- Nicholson B, Passik SD. Management of chronic noncancer pain in the primary care setting. South Med J 2007;100:1028–1036.
- Trescot AM, Helm S, Hansen H et al. Opioids in the management of chronic non-cancer pain: An update of American Society of the Interventional Pain Physicians' (ASIPP) Guidelines. Pain Physician 2008;11:S5–S62.
- American Academy of Pain Medicine and American Pain Society. The use of opioids for the treatment of chronic pain. A consensus statement from the American Academy of Pain Medicine and the American Pain Society. Clin J Pain 1997;13:6–8.
- 97. Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG et al. Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid therapy in chronic noncancer pain. J Pain 2009;10:113–130.
- Caldwell JR, Hale ME, Boyd RE et al. Treatment of osteoarthritis pain with controlled release oxycodone or fixed combination oxycodone plus acetaminophen added to nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: A double blind, randomized, multicenter, placebo controlled trial. J Rheumatol 1999;26:862– 869
- Hale ME, Fleischmann R, Salzman R et al. Efficacy and safety of controlledrelease versus immediate-release oxycodone: Randomized, double-blind evaluation in patients with chronic back pain. Clin J Pain 1999:15:179–183.
- 100. Rauck RL, Bookbinder SA, Bunker TR et al. The ACTION study: A randomized, open-label, multicenter trial comparing once-a-day extended-release morphine sulfate capsules (AVINZA) to twice-a-day controlled-release oxycodone hydrochloride tablets (OxyContin) for the treatment of chronic, moderate to severe low back pain. J Opioid Manage 2006;2:155–166.
- Dworkin RH, O'Connor AB, Backonja M et al. Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: Evidence-based recommendations. Pain 2007;132:237– 251.
- Deshpande A, Furlan A, Mailis-Gagnon A et al. Opioids for chronic lowback pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007: CD004959
- 103. Noble M, Tregear SJ, Treadwell JR et al. Long-term opioid therapy for chronic noncancer pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy and safety. J Pain Symptom Manage 2008;35:214–228.
- Ballantyne JC, Shin NS. Efficacy of opioids for chronic pain: A review of the evidence. Clin J Pain 2008;24:469–478.
- 105. Chou R. Drug Class Review on Long-Acting Opioid Analgesics: Final Report. Portland: Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center, Oregon Health & Science University, 2008, pp 1–70.
- Chou R, Clark E, Helfand M. Comparative efficacy and safety of long-acting oral opioids for chronic non-cancer pain: A systematic review. J Pain Symptom Manage 2003;26:1026–1048.
- 107. Fine PG, Portenoy RK. Positioning Therapy and Patient Selection. A Clinical Guide to Opioid Analgesia. New York: Vendome Group LLC, 2007, pp 32–34.
- 108. Kalso E, Edwards JE, Moore RA et al. Opioids in chronic non-cancer pain: Systematic review of efficacy and safety. Pain 2004;112:372–380.

 Fishman SM, Wilsey B, Mahajan G et al. Methadone reincarnated: Novel clinical applications with related concerns. Pain Med 2002;3:339–348.

- Santiago TV, Edelman NH. Opioids and breathing. J Appl Physiol 1985;59:1675–1685.
- Daniell HW. Hypogonadism in men consuming sustained-action oral opioids. J Pain 2002;3:377–384.
- 112. Ives TJ, Chelminski PR, Hammett-Stabler CA et al. Predictors of opioid misuse in patients with chronic pain: A prospective cohort study. BMC Health Serv Res 2006;6:46.
- Reid MC, Engles-Horton LL, Weber MB et al. Use of opioid medications for chronic noncancer pain syndromes in primary care. J Gen Intern Med 2002;17:173–179.
- 114. Birnbaum HG, White AG, Reynolds JL et al. Estimated costs of prescription opioid analgesic abuse in the United States in 2001: A societal perspective. Clin J Pain 2006;22:667–676.
- 115. Jovey RD, Ennis J, Gardner-Nix J et al. Use of opioid analgesics for the treatment of chronic noncancer pain—a consensus statement and guidelines from the Canadian Pain Society, 2002. Pain Res Manag 2003;8(Suppl A):3A–28A.
- Katz NP, Adams EH, Benneyan JC et al. Foundations of opioid risk management. Clin J Pain 2007;23:103–118.
- 117. Fishbain DA, Cole B, Lewis J et al. What percentage of chronic nonmalignant pain patients exposed to chronic opioid analgesic therapy develop abuse/ addiction and/or aberrant drug-related behaviors? A structured evidencebased review. Pain Med 2008;9:444–459.
- Gourlay DL, Heit HA, Almahrezi A. Universal precautions in pain medicine: A rational approach to the treatment of chronic pain. Pain Med 2005;6:107–112.
- Federation of State Medical Boards. Model Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain. Dallas: Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB), 2004, pp 1–5.
- Trescot AM, Boswell MV, Atluri SL et al. Opioid guidelines in the management of chronic non-cancer pain. Pain Physician 2006:9:1–39.
- Webster LR, Webster RM. Predicting aberrant behaviors in opioid-treated patients: Preliminary validation of the Opioid Risk Tool. Pain Med 2005;6:432–442.
- 122. Butler SF, Fernandez K, Benoit C et al. Validation of the revised Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain (SOAPP-R). J Pain 2008;9:360–372.
- Butler SF, Budman SH, Fernandez KC et al. Development and validation of the Current Opioid Misuse Measure. Pain 2007;130:144-1456.
- 124. Edlund MJ, Steffick D, Hudson T et al. Risk factors for clinically recognized opioid abuse and dependence among veterans using opioids for chronic noncancer pain. Pain 2007;129:355–362.
- 125. Ytterberg SR, Mahowald ML, Woods SR. Codeine and oxycodone use in patients with chronic rheumatic disease pain. Arthritis Rheum 1998;41: 1603–1612.
- Auret K, Schug SA. Underutilisation of opioids in elderly patients with chronic pain: Approaches to correcting the problem. Drugs Aging 2005;22:641–654.
- 127. Portenoy RK. Adjuvant analgesics in pain management. In: Doyle D, Hanks GW, MacDonald N, eds. Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine, 2nd Ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, pp 361–390.
- Backonja M, Beydoun A, Edwards KR et al. Gabapentin for the symptomatic treatment of painful neuropathy in patients with diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1998;280:1831–1836.

- 129. Ross EL. The evolving role of antiepileptic drugs in treating neuropathic pain. Neurology 2000;55:S41–S46, Discussion S54–S58.
- Rowbotham M, Harden N, Stacey B et al. Gabapentin for the treatment of postherpetic neuralgia: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1998;280:1837– 1842
- Saarto T, Wiffen PJ. Antidepressants for neuropathic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007: CD005454
- 132. Shneker BF, McAuley JW. Pregabalin: A new neuromodulator with broad therapeutic indications. Ann Pharmacother 2005;39:2029–2037.
- Wiffen PJ, McQuay HJ, Edwards JE et al. Gabapentin for acute and chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005: CD005452
- 134. Lussier D, Portenoy RK. Adjuvant analgesics in pain management. In: Douyle D, Hanks G, Cherny N et al., eds. Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine, 3rd Ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2004, pp 349–378
- Watanabe S, Bruera E. Corticosteroids as adjuvant analgesics. J Pain Symptom Manage 1994;9:442–445.
- Fromm GH. Baclofen as an adjuvant analgesic. J Pain Symptom Manage 1994;9:500–509.
- Reddy S, Patt RB. The benzodiazepines as adjuvant analgesics. J Pain Symptom Manage 1994;9:510–514.
- 138. Lyritis GP, Paspati I, Karachalios T et al. Pain relief from nasal salmon calcitonin in osteoporotic vertebral crush fractures. A double blind, placebo-controlled clinical study. Acta Orthop Scand Suppl 1997;275:112–114.
- Roth A, Kolaric K. Analgetic activity of calcitonin in patients with painful osteolytic metastases of breast cancer. Results of a controlled randomized study. Oncology 1986;43:283–287.
- 140. Gralow J, Tripathy D. Managing metastatic bone pain: The role of bisphosphonates. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007;33:462–472.
- Khaliq W, Alam S, Puri N. Topical lidocaine for the treatment of postherpetic neuralgia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007: CD004846.
- 142. Stow PJ, Glynn CJ, Minor B. EMLA cream in the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. Efficacy and pharmacokinetic profile. Pain 1989;39:301–305.
- 143. Mason L, Moore RA, Derry S et al. Systematic review of topical capsaicin for the treatment of chronic pain. BMJ 2004;328:991.
- Zhang WY, Li Wan Po A. The effectiveness of topically applied capsaicin. A meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1994;46:517–522.
- Moore RA, Tramer MR, Carroll D et al. Quantitive systematic review of topically applied non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. BMJ 1998;316: 333–338.
- 146. Tramer MR, Williams JE, Carroll D et al. Comparing analgesic efficacy of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs given by different routes in acute and chronic pain: A qualitative systematic review. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1998;42:71–79.
- 147. Iskedjian M, Bereza B, Gordon A et al. Meta-analysis of cannabis based treatments for neuropathic and multiple sclerosis-related pain. Curr Med Res Opin 2007;23:17–24.
- Karst M, Salim K, Burstein S et al. Analgesic effect of the synthetic cannabinoid CT-3 on chronic neuropathic pain: A randomized controlled trial. IAMA 2003;290:1757–1762.
- 149. Wilsey B, Marcotte T, Tsodikov A et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial of cannabis cigarettes in neuropathic pain. J Pain 2008;9: 506–521.